arXiv:1203.3610v1 [math.DG] 16 Mar 2012

BALLS IN COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

BAOHUA XIE, JIEYAN WANG AND YUEPING JIANG

Abstract. In this paper we get an explicit lower bound for the radius of a Bergman ball contained in the Dirichlet fundamental polyhedron of a torsion-free discrete group $G \subset \mathbf{PU}(n,1)$ acting on complex hyperbolic space. Consequently the volume of all complex hyperbolic n-manifolds is bounded below by the volume of this ball.

1. Introduction

Recall that any (real or complex) hyperbolic n-manifold can be identified with $\mathbf{H}^n/G$, where $G \subset \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{n}})$ is a torsion-free discrete isometric subgroup acting on real or complex hyperbolic n-space $\mathbf{H}^n$. Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the set of all discrete torsion-free groups and o be any point in hyperbolic space. Define

$$r_n = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{G_n \in \mathcal{F}_n} \inf_{f \in G_n} d_{\mathbf{H}^n}\big(o, f(o)\big)$$

where $d_{\mathbf{H}^n}$ is the hyperbolic or Bergman distance. Then r_n is the largest number such that every real or complex hyperbolic n- manifold contains an embedded ball of that radius. A different definition for r_n can be found in [9]. The famous work of Kazdan and Margulis [8] implied the existence of a uniform hyperbolic ball of radius r_n, which is embedded in any hyperbolic n-manifold, see also [13]. However, they did not provide a computable value of r_n. A positive lower bound on r_n provides geometric information about all hyperbolic n-manifolds, such as the lower bounds for the volume of hyperbolic manifolds and the thick and thin decomposition of such manifolds.

The bound $r_3 = \frac{1}{25}$ was produced by P.L. Waterman [12] in the case of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. A better result was included in [5]. In the case of high dimension, Martin [9] found explicit lower bounds for r_n depending only on the dimension n. He obtained this bound using result from his previous paper [10], where he generalized the well-know inequality of Jørgensen to all dimensions. Then he found a lower bound on the radius of a hyperbolic ball contained in the Dirichlet fundamental polyhedron of the uniformizing group acting on hyperbolic space. Similar work was done by I. Adeboye [1] where the group G has torsion elements.

In the complex hyperbolic setting, J.R. Parker [11] studied the embedded ball in cusped complex hyperbolic manifolds. In [4], X. Fu et al. generalized Adeboye's result in the setting of complex hyperbolic space. In this paper we get a lower bounds for the radii of embedded balls in complex hyperbolic manifolds. As an application, we obtain a lower bound for the volumes of complex hyperbolic n-manifolds.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M05; 30F40,22E40.

Key Words: Embedded ball; Complex hyperbolic manifolds; Volume.

2. Complex hyperbolic space

First, we recall some background on complex hyperbolic geometry. More details can be found in [6]. In this paper we work in the unit ball model.

2.1. **Ball model.** Let $\mathbf{C}^{n,1}$ be the $n+1$-dimensional complex vector space consisting of $n+1$-tuples

$$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n, z_{1+n})$$

with the Hermitian pairing

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mathbf{z} J \mathbf{w}^* = z_1\overline{w_1} + z_2\overline{w_2} + \ldots + z_n\overline{w_n} - z_{1+n}\overline{w_{1+n}},$$

where " $*$ " denotes the complex Hermitian transpose, $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}$ are the column vectors in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ and

$$J = \left(\begin{array}{cc} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right).$$

We consider the subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^{n,1}$

$$V_- = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{n,1} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle < 0\},$$
$$V_0 = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{n,1} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{P} : \mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^n$ be the canonical projection. Then complex hyperbolic space is defined to be $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{P}(V_-)$ and $\partial\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{P}(V_0)$ is its boundary.

We define the ball model of complex hyperbolic space by taking the section defined by $z_{n+1} = 1$ for the above Hermitian form. That is, if we take column vectors $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n, 1)$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n,1}$, then consider what it means for $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle$ to be negative.

For the above Hermitian form, we obtain $z \in \mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$ provided:

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = z_1\overline{z_1} + z_2\overline{z_2} + \ldots + z_n\overline{z_n} - 1 < 0.$$

In other words,

$$|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \ldots + |z_n|^2 < 1.$$

Thus $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ is in the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$. This forms the unit ball model of complex hyperbolic space. The boundary of the unit ball model is the sphere S^{2n-1} given by

$$|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \ldots + |z_n|^2 = 1.$$

So we can identify the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$ with the ball $\mathbf{B}^{2n}$.

We say that the standard lift of a point $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ in the unit ball to $\mathbf{C}^{n,1}$ is the column vector $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n, 1)$ of $\mathbf{C}^{n,1}$ whose first n coordinates are those of z and whose last coordinate is 1. The standard lift of 0 is the column vector $e_{n+1} = (0, 0, \ldots, 1)$.

The distance function in $\mathbf{H}^n_C$ has the following useful algebraic description in terms of the Hermitian structure on $\mathbf{C}^{n,1}$. Let $x, y \in \mathbf{H}^n_C$ be points corresponding to vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}^{n,1}$. Then the distance between them is given by

$$\cosh^2\big(\frac{\rho(x,y)}{2}\big) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle}.$$

The biholomorphic isometric group of $\mathbf{H}^n_C$ is $\mathbf{PU}(n,1) = \mathbf{U}(n,1)/\mathbf{U}(1)$.There exist three kinds of holomorphic isometries of $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$.

(i) Loxodromic isometries, each of which fixes exactly two points of $\partial\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$. One of these points is attracting and the other repelling.

(ii) Parabolic isometries, each of which fixes exactly one point of $\partial\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$.

(iii) Elliptic isometries, each of which fixes at least one point of $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Any matrix in $\mathbf{PU}(n,1)$ fixing the origin e_{n+1} is the projectivisation of a block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{U}(n)\times\mathbf{U}(1)$ in $\mathbf{U}(n,1)$. It has the form

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta}\end{pmatrix}$$

where $A\in\mathbf{U}(n)$ and $e^{i\theta}\in\mathbf{U}(1)$. Projectivising we may assume that $e^{i\theta}=1$.

W.Goldman computed the volume of a geodesic ball of radius ρ in his book [6].

Proposition 2.1. *The complex hyperbolic volume of a geodesic ball of radius ρ is*

$$Vol(B(\rho))=\frac{8^n\sigma_{2n-1}}{2n}\sinh^{2n}(\frac{\rho}{2}),$$

where $\sigma_{2n-1}=\frac{2\pi^n}{n!}$ is the Euclidean volume of the unit sphere $S^{2n-1}\subset\mathbf{C}^n$.

3. Preliminaries

We identify the group of complex hyperbolic isometries with the Lie group $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ via the usual topological isomorphism. We shall use letters such as f,g,h to denote complex hyperbolic isometries and letters such as A,B,C to denote the corresponding matrices in $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$. We denote by $\mathbf{U}(n)$ the maximal unitary subgroup of $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$. We say G is discrete if identity is isolated in G. We denote the orbit $\{g(x):g\in G\}$ of a point x under G by $G(x)$. The limit set $L(G)$ of a discrete group G is then the set of accumulation points of the orbit of an arbitrary point $x\in\mathbf{B}^{2n}$. We say that a discrete group G is elementary if $|L(G)|<2$, non-elementary otherwise. The real hyperbolic or complex hyperbolic isometric group is a negative curved group. It is well known that all negative curved groups have the following convergence property.

Proposition 3.1. *For each infinite sequence of elements in G there exists a subsequence $\{r_i\}$ and points x_0,y_0 in $\mathbf{B}^{2n}$ such that*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} r_j=y_0,\quad \textit{and}\quad \lim_{j\to\infty} r_j=x_0$$

uniformly on compact subsets in $\overline{\mathbf{B}^{2n}}\setminus\{x_0\}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{B}^{2n}}\setminus\{y_0\}$.

For any element A of $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ we denote its operator norm as

$$\|A\|=\max\{|Av|:v\in\mathbf{C}^{n+1},\ \textit{and}\ |v|=1\}.$$

Let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectra of A, that is, the set of all eigenvalues of A. The number

$$r_\sigma(A)=\max_{\lambda\in\sigma(A)}|\lambda|$$

is defined to be the spectral radius of A. We will use an alternative definition for the operator norm in this paper, that is,

$$\parallel A\parallel=\sqrt{r_\sigma(A^*A)}.$$

The operator norm of $A\in\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ is determined by its eigenvalues. Thus operator norm is a conjugate invariant.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $A\in\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ and $B\in\mathbf{U}(n)$. Then*

$$\parallel BAB^{-1}\parallel=\parallel A\parallel.$$

We denote $[A,B] = ABA^{-1}B^{-1}$ to the multiplicative commutator. The norm version of Jørgensen inequality in high dimension hyperbolic space was obtained in [10]. This inequality was generalized to complex hyperbolic space by B. Dai et al [2]. and S. Kamiya [8].

Proposition 3.3. *If A and B are elements of $G \subset \mathbf{PU}(n,1)$, then*

$$\max\{\| A - Id \|, \| B - Id \|\} \geq 2 - \sqrt{3}$$

and

$$\max\{\| A - Id \|, \| [A,B] - Id \|\} \geq 2 - \sqrt{3}$$

unless A and B lie in the same elementary subgroup of G.

Corollary 3.1. *If A and B are elements of $G \subset \mathbf{PU}(n,1)$, then*

$$\| A \| \| A - Id \| \| B \| \| B - Id \| \geq 1 - \sqrt{3}/2$$

unless A and B lie in the same elementary subgroup of G.

Proof: Following the proof of Corollary 2.2 in [9] step by step, this corollary is proved. □

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that $\{f_i\}$ is a sequence of complex hyperbolic isometries of $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{2n}$ such that for two distinct points x and y, $|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| \to 0$. Then $\| A_i \| \to \infty$, where A_i are the matrix of $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ corresponding to the $\{f_i\}$.*

Consequently combining Proposition 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, we have the following crucial result which will be used to prove the main theorem.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a discrete non-elementary torsion free subgroup of $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$. Then there is an $\alpha \in \mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ such that*

$$\| A \| \| A - Id \| \geq \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{3}/2}$$

for all $A \in \alpha G \alpha^{-1}$.

Proof: We can use a similar strategy as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [9]. □

4. The main result and its proof

Since G is a discrete torsion-free group acting on the hyperbolic space, the distance $d_{\mathbf{H}^n}(o, f(o))$ must be larger than a certain number. The main idea of Martin to get his lower bound was to estimate the distance $d_{\mathbf{H}^n}(o, f(o))$ by using his Jørgensen inequality in high dimension. Our approach follows the main idea of Martin's proof. We begin with some lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. ***(Dirichlet's pigeon-hole principle)*** *Let $\theta_i \in [0,1], i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then for each $Q \geq 1$ there is $q \leq Q^m$ and $p_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, such that*

$$|\theta_i - \frac{p_i}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{qQ}.$$

As application of the conjugacy to diagonal form for an element of $\mathbf{U}(n)$ together with the above principle and an eigenvalue calculation yields the following. One can compare the following lemma with Lemma 4.1 in [3] and Corollary 3.3 in [9].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A \in \mathbf{U}(n)$. Then for each $Q > 1$ there is $B \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that for some $1 \le q \le Q^{n-1}$, $B^q = Id$ and*

$$\parallel A - B \parallel \le \frac{2\pi}{qQ}.$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist $B_1 \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that

$$A = B_1 A_1 B_1^{-1}$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\pi\theta_1} & & & & & \\ & e^{i2\pi\theta_2} & & & & \\ & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & e^{i2\pi\theta_{n-1}} & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\theta_i \in [0, 1]$.

So we choose

$$B = B_1 B_0 B_1^{-1}$$

where

$$B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\pi\frac{p_1}{q}} & & & & & \\ & e^{i2\pi\frac{p_2}{q}} & & & & \\ & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & e^{i2\pi\frac{p_{n-1}}{q}} & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\theta_i \in [0, 1]$.

Thus we have the following from the definition of operator norm.

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel A - B \parallel = \parallel A_1 - B_0 \parallel &= \sqrt{r_\sigma((A_1 - B_0)^*(A_1 - B_0))} \\ &= \max \sqrt{|(e^{i2\pi\theta_i} - e^{i2\pi\frac{p_i}{q}})^2|} \\ &= \max \sqrt{|2 - 2\cos(2\pi\theta_i - 2\pi\frac{p_i}{q})|} \\ &= \max \sqrt{|4\sin^2(\pi\theta_i - \pi\frac{p_i}{q})|} \\ &= 2\max|\sin(\pi\theta_i - \pi\frac{p_i}{q})| \\ &\le 2\max|\pi\theta_i - \pi\frac{p_i}{q}| \le \frac{2\pi}{qQ}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.1. The above lemma in real hyperbolic case was provided in [3].

Lemma 4.3. *Let the complex hyperbolic isometry f correspond to the matrix $A \in \mathbf{SU}(n, 1)$. Then*

$$r = \exp(\rho(0, f(0))/2)$$

implies that

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{A}, \mathbf{U}(\mathrm{n})) = \inf\{\parallel \mathrm{A} - \mathrm{O} \parallel, \mathrm{O} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathrm{n})\} \le \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{r} - 1).$$

Proof: We may assume without loss of generality that $f(0) = (\frac{r^2-1}{r^2+1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Let h be a isometry such that $h(0) = f(0)$. The matrix $B \in \mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ corresponding to h written as

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & \\ & \frac{r^2+1}{2r} & \frac{r^2-1}{2r} \\ & \frac{r^2-1}{2r} & \frac{r^2+1}{2r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $B^{-1}A$ stabilizes the origin, $B^{-1}A \in \mathbf{U}(n)$, then

$$\mathrm{dist(A, \mathbf{U}(n)) \leq \parallel A - B^{-1}A \parallel \leq \parallel B^{-1} - Id \parallel \parallel A \parallel}.$$

Also we have

$$\parallel A \parallel = \parallel BB^{-1}A \parallel \leq \parallel B^{-1}A \parallel \parallel B \parallel \leq \parallel B \parallel .$$

Simple calculation reveals that

$$\parallel B^{-1} - Id \parallel = r - 1$$

and

$$\parallel B \parallel = r.$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that f is a complex hyperbolic isometry. Let $A \in \mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ be the matrix corresponding to f and $B \in \mathbf{U}(n)$. Then for each $q \geq 1$*

$$\parallel A^q - B^q \parallel \leq \frac{r^q - 1}{r - 1} \parallel A - B \parallel,$$

where

$$r = \exp(\rho(0, f(0))/2).$$

Proof: We recall the identity

$$A^q - B^q = (A - B)A^{q-1} + B(A - B)A^{q-2} + \ldots B^{q-2}(A - B)A + B^{q-1}(A - B).$$

In the proof of the Lemma 4.3, we know that $\parallel A \parallel \leq r$. As $B \in \mathbf{U}(n)$, we get $\parallel B^i \parallel = 1$ for all i. Using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\parallel A^q - B^q \parallel \leq \parallel A - B \parallel (r^{q-1} + r^{q-2} + \ldots + r) = \frac{r^q - 1}{r - 1} \parallel A - B \parallel .$$

□

Now, we prove our main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $G \subset \mathbf{PU}(n,1)$ be a discrete torsion-free non-elementary group. Then there exist $o \in \mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$ so that for any $f \in G$*

$$\mathrm{dist(o, f(o))} \geq \delta,$$

where $\delta = \frac{0.01}{17^{n-1}}$.

Proof: First, according to the Proposition 3.5, we know that there exist an $\alpha \in \mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ such that for any $I \neq C \in \alpha G \alpha^{-1}$

$$\parallel C \parallel \parallel C - Id \parallel \geq \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{3}/2}.$$

We show that the Theorem holds with $o = \alpha^{-1}(0)$. Assume to the contrary that the conclusion does not hold for some $f \in G$. Denote the corresponding matrix in $\mathbf{SU}(n,1)$ of f be $\widehat{A}$. Set $A = \alpha\widehat{A}\alpha^{-1}$, $\parallel A \parallel \leq r$. We deduce that $r < e^{\frac{\delta}{2}}$. Furthermore, there exist $O \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $\parallel A - O \parallel \leq r(r-1)$.

Applying Lemma 4.2 to O with $Q = 17$, we then deduce the existence of an elliptic $B \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ of order q such that

$$\parallel B - O \parallel \leq \frac{2\pi}{qQ}, \quad \parallel O^q - B^q \parallel = \parallel O^q - Id \parallel \leq \frac{2\pi}{Q},$$

$1 \leq q \leq Q^{n-1}$.

By using Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\parallel A^q - O^q \parallel \leq \frac{r^q - 1}{r - 1} \parallel A - O \parallel \leq r(r^q - 1).$$

According to the triangle inequality and the fact that $O \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ and the existence of B, we can deduce that

$$\parallel A^q \parallel \leq (r^q - 1)r + 1$$

and

$$\parallel A^q - Id \parallel = \parallel A^q - O^q + O^q - Id \parallel \leq (r^q - 1)r + \frac{2\pi}{Q}.$$

Thus

$$\parallel A^q \parallel \parallel A^q - Id \parallel \leq [(r^q - 1)r + 1][(r^q - 1)r + \frac{2\pi}{Q}].$$

Hence,

$$\parallel A^q \parallel \parallel A^q - Id \parallel \leq [(e^{\delta Q^{n-1}} - 1)e^{\delta} + 1][(e^{\delta Q^{n-1}} - 1)e^{\delta} + \frac{2\pi}{Q}].$$

The assumptions that $Q = 17$, $\delta = \frac{0.01}{17^{n-1}}$ and the inequality $n \geq 2$ then yield

$$\parallel A^q \parallel \parallel A^q - Id \parallel \leq [(e^{0.01} - 1)e^{0.01/17} + 1][(e^{0.01} - 1)e^{0.01/17} + \frac{2\pi}{17}] \leq 0.384,$$

which contradicts to Proposition 3.5. □

Corollary 4.1. $G \subset \mathbf{PU}(n,1)$ *be a discrete non-elementary torsion-free group of complex hyperbolic isometries of* $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}$. *Then there is a Bergman ball of radius*

$$r_n = \frac{0.005}{17^{n-1}}$$

lying inside every Dirichlet region for G.

Therefore the volume of all complex hyperbolic manifolds $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbf{C}}/G$ bounded below by the volume of this Bergman ball. We estimate this by using Goldman's formal for the volume of a geodesic ball.

Corollary 4.2. *Let* M *be a complex hyperbolic* n*-manifolds. Then*

$$Vol(M) \geq \frac{8^n \sigma_{2n-1}}{2n} \sinh^{2n}(\frac{0.0025}{17^{n-1}})$$

where $\sigma_{2n-1} = \frac{2\pi^n}{n!}$ *is the Euclidean volume of the unit sphere* $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbf{C}^n$.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundational of China (No.10671059) and Tianyuan Foundational(No. 11126195).

References

[1] I. Adeboye. *Lower bounds for the volume of hyperbolic n-orbifolds*, Pacific J. Math., 237(1):1-19, 2008.

[2] B. Dai, A. Fang and B. Nai, *Discreteness criteria for subgroups in complex hyperbolic space.* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. Volume 77, Number 10 (2001), 168-172.

[3] S. Friedland and S. Hersonsky. *Jøgensen inequality for discrete groups in normed algebras.* Duke Math. J. 69(3):593C614, 1993.

[4] X. Fu, L. Li, and X. Wang. A lower bound for the volumes of complex hyperbolic orbifolds. To appear in Geom. Dedicata, 2011.

[5] F.W. Gering, G.J. Martin, *Inequalities for Möbius transformations and discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 418 (1991), 31-76.

[6] W. M. Goldman,*Complex hyperbolic geometry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 1999.

[7] S. Kamiya, *Chordal and matrix norms of unitary transformations*, First Korean-Japanese Colloquium on Finite or Infinite Dimensional Complex Analysis (1993), 121C125.

[8] D.A. Kazdan and G.A. Margulis,*A proof of Selberg's hypothesis*, Math. U.S.S.R. Sb 4(1968) 147-152.

[9] G.J. Martin, *Balls in hyperbolic manifolds.* Jour. London math. Soc. 40(2):257C264, 1989.

[10] G.J. Martin, *On discrete Möbius groups in all dimensions: a generalization of Jøgensens inequality.* Acta Mathematica, 163(3C4):253C289, 1989

[11] J.R. Parker, *Uniform discreteness and Heisenberg translations*, Math. Zeit. 225 (1997) 485-505.

[12] P.L. Waterman, *An inscribed ball for kleinian group*, Bull. London math. Soc. 16(1984) 525-530.

[13] H.C. Wang. *Topics on totally discontinuous groups.* In *Symmetric spaces*, pages 459–487. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 8. Dekker, 1972.

College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, China

E-mail address: `xiexbh@gmail.com, jywang@hnu.edu.cn, ypjiang731@163.com`